

Cabins, castles, and constant hearts

Willems, Stephan; Meyer, Christian; de Bono, Joseph; Brandes, Axel; Eckardt, Lars; Elvan, Arif; van Gelder, Isabelle; Goette, Andreas; Gulizia, Michele; Haegeli, Laurent; Heidbuchel, Hein; Haeusler, Karl Georg; Kautzner, Josef; Mont, Lluís; Ng, G Andre; Szumowski, Lukasz; Themistoclakis, Sakis; Wegscheider, Karl; Kirchhof, Paulus

DOI:

[10.1093/eurheartj/ehz782](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz782)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Willems, S, Meyer, C, de Bono, J, Brandes, A, Eckardt, L, Elvan, A, van Gelder, I, Goette, A, Gulizia, M, Haegeli, L, Heidbuchel, H, Haeusler, KG, Kautzner, J, Mont, L, Ng, GA, Szumowski, L, Themistoclakis, S, Wegscheider, K & Kirchhof, P 2019, 'Cabins, castles, and constant hearts: rhythm control therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation', *European Heart Journal*, vol. 40, no. 46, ehz782, pp. 3793-3799c.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz782>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Novel therapeutic concepts

Cabins, castles, and constant hearts: rhythm control therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation

Stephan Willems^{1,2,3†}, Christian Meyer^{4,5†}, Joseph de Bono⁶, Axel Brandes⁷, Lars Eckardt^{1,8}, Arif Elvan⁹, Isabelle van Gelder¹⁰, Andreas Goette^{1,11}, Michele Gulizia¹², Laurent Haegeli^{13,14}, Hein Heidbuchel¹⁵, Karl Georg Haeusler¹⁶, Josef Kautzner¹⁷, Lluís Mont¹⁸, G. Andre Ng¹⁹, Lukasz Szumowski²⁰, Sakis Themistoclakis²¹, Karl Wegscheider^{1,5,22}, and Paulus Kirchhof^{1,6,23*}

¹AFNET, Münster, Germany; ²Department of Cardiology, Asklepios Kliniken St Georg, Hamburg, Germany; ³Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary; ⁴Department of Cardiology, Electrophysiology, University Heart Center, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ⁵DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Hamburg/Kiel/Lübeck, Hamburg, Germany; ⁶Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Birmingham and University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, ICB 136, Wolfson Drive, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; ⁷Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, and Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; ⁸Department of Cardiology II—Electrophysiology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany; ⁹Isala Diaqram B.V. SMO Zwolle, Zwolle, Netherlands; ¹⁰University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands; ¹¹St. Vincenz Hospital Paderborn, Cardiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Paderborn, Germany; ¹²Garibaldi-Nesima Hospital, Catania, Italy; ¹³Universitätsspital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland; ¹⁴Medical University Department, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, Switzerland; ¹⁵University Hospital Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium; ¹⁶Department of Neurology, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ¹⁷Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine (IKEM), Prague, Czech Republic; ¹⁸Hospital Clinic Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ¹⁹National Institute for Health Research Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, University of Leicester, Glenfield General Hospital, Leicester, UK; ²⁰National Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland; ²¹Unit of Electrophysiology and Cardiac Pacing, Ospedale Dell'Angelo, Venice, Italy; ²²Department of Medical Biometry and Epidemiology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; and ²³Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust, Birmingham, UK

Received 22 December 2018; revised 1 March 2019; editorial decision 14 October 2019; accepted 18 November 2019

Recent innovations have the potential to improve rhythm control therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF). Controlled trials provide new evidence on the effectiveness and safety of rhythm control therapy, particularly in patients with AF and heart failure. This review summarizes evidence supporting the use of rhythm control therapy in patients with AF for different outcomes, discusses implications for indications, and highlights remaining clinical gaps in evidence. Rhythm control therapy improves symptoms and quality of life in patients with symptomatic AF and can be safely delivered in elderly patients with comorbidities (mean age 70 years, 3–7% complications at 1 year). Atrial fibrillation ablation maintains sinus rhythm more effectively than antiarrhythmic drug therapy, but recurrent AF remains common, highlighting the need for better patient selection (precision medicine). Antiarrhythmic drugs remain effective after AF ablation, underpinning the synergistic mechanisms of action of AF ablation and antiarrhythmic drugs. Atrial fibrillation ablation appears to improve left ventricular function in a subset of patients with AF and heart failure. Data on the prognostic effect of rhythm control therapy are heterogeneous without a clear signal for either benefit or harm. Rhythm control therapy has acceptable safety and improves quality of life in patients with symptomatic AF, including in elderly populations with stroke risk factors. There is a clinical need to better stratify patients for rhythm control therapy. Further studies are needed to determine whether rhythm control therapy, and particularly AF ablation, improves left ventricular function and reduces AF-related complications.

Keywords

Atrial fibrillation • Rhythm control therapy • AF ablation • Antiarrhythmic drugs • Heart failure • Stroke • Mortality

* Corresponding author. Tel: +44 121 414 7042, Email: p.kirchhof@bham.ac.uk

† The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) and its associated mortality and morbidity are expected to double or triple within the next two to three decades, driven by population ageing and increased incidence of AF.^{1,2} Even on optimal anticoagulation and rate control therapy, patients with AF are at high risk of cardiovascular death, particularly sudden death and death due to heart failure.^{3,4} Rhythm control therapy using antiarrhythmic drugs, cardioversion, and AF ablation, is clinically used to improve AF-related symptoms.⁵ Currently, there is no established indication for rhythm control therapy apart from improvement of AF-related symptoms.^{6–8} The CABANA (Catheter Ablation vs. Anti-arrhythmic Drug Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation) trial recently provided new confirmation on the safety of AF ablation in contemporary AF patients at risk of stroke.⁹ The smaller CASTLE-AF (Catheter Ablation vs. Standard Conventional Therapy in Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction and Atrial Fibrillation) suggests that AF ablation could improve outcomes in patients with AF and severe heart failure compared to drug therapy, combining rate control therapy and antiarrhythmic drug therapy.¹⁰ Here, we review the available evidence supporting the use of rhythm control therapy in patients with AF, discuss potential implications for indications, and highlight clinical evidence gaps.

Rhythm control therapy improves atrial fibrillation-related symptoms

Restoring and maintaining sinus rhythm indicated to minimize symptoms is a main goal in patients who remain symptomatic despite adequate rate control.^{11,12} Interestingly, the effects of rhythm control on quality of life are less uniform than their clear effects on maintaining sinus rhythm (Table 1). Both natural variation in patient-reported quality of life, imprecise instruments to assess quality of life, and variable effects of rhythm control therapy on quality of life in individual patients can explain this heterogeneity.^{13,14} The European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) symptom score was introduced in 2007 as a simple clinical tool to quantify AF-related symptoms,¹⁵ with subsequent refinement and validation.¹⁶ Several disease-specific instruments are available, all with specific strengths and limitations.¹⁷ In addition, perceived AF-related symptoms may not always be due to AF, and concomitant cardiovascular diseases and risk factors may affect patient's health perception in addition to the arrhythmia itself.^{18,19} Furthermore, patients with paroxysmal AF can be expected to report variable quality of life depending on their rhythm at the time of assessment, on their ability to memorize past symptoms during clusters of AF episodes, and by anxiety related to future episodes of AF.

Effectiveness and safety of rhythm control therapy

The success of rhythm control therapy depends on multiple factors including the number, type, and severity of underlying conditions, age,

gender, adherence to antiarrhythmic drug therapy, and factors related to the quality of the AF ablation procedure.^{2,8,20} Furthermore, AF recurrence rates depend on the intensity of electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring and duration of follow-up.¹⁵ Thus, comparing absolute recurrence rates between studies and comparisons to historical controls can be misleading (Table 1).

Effectiveness and safety of antiarrhythmic drug therapy

On average, antiarrhythmic drugs double the proportion of patients who maintain sinus rhythm. Amiodarone is more effective than other antiarrhythmic drugs in maintaining sinus rhythm, and catheter ablation is more effective than antiarrhythmic drugs.⁸ The long-term complication rates of antiarrhythmic drug therapy are comparable to complications in patients treated with AF ablation.^{9,21} Although amiodarone has been associated with adverse outcomes in non-randomized analyses of patients at very high risk,²² the safety of antiarrhythmic drug therapy found in recent randomized trials in patients with AF attenuates historical safety concerns,^{9,21} particularly in patients with heart failure.²³ Unlike earlier trials of antiarrhythmic drugs compared to placebo or rate control therapy (Table 1),^{23–25} antiarrhythmic drug therapy with dronedarone was associated with reduced cardiovascular hospitalizations and cardiovascular deaths compared to placebo.²⁶ The same substance, dronedarone, used as a rate-controlling agent, was associated with higher rates of heart failure, stroke, and cardiovascular death in patients with permanent AF in the PALLAS trial.²⁷ Patients included in PALLAS were not considered suitable for rhythm control therapy, did not receive interventions to restore sinus rhythm (e.g. cardioversion, AF ablation) and had severe heart failure. Hence, they were deprived of any potential to benefit of sinus rhythm. Patients treated with dronedarone in ATHENA, in contrast, received that therapy to restore sinus rhythm. Taken together, these data may suggest that the beneficial effects found in ATHENA could be associated with its rhythm controlling effect, but more data are needed.

Antiarrhythmic drugs are also effective after AF ablation. Two recent randomized studies (AMIO-CAT²⁸ and POWDER-AF²⁹) showed that adding antiarrhythmic drug therapy to AF ablation improves sinus rhythm maintenance for the duration of therapy. This synergistic effect of antiarrhythmic drugs with AF ablation reflects the common (approximately 50% of patients) use of antiarrhythmic drugs 1 year after AF ablation.³⁰ A substudy within AMIO-CAT measuring brain natriuretic peptide suggested that biomarkers may improve identification of patients at risk for recurrent AF,³¹ pointing potentially towards personalized or stratified selection of patients for specific rhythm control therapies.³²

Effectiveness and safety of atrial fibrillation ablation

Initially evaluated in young patients with highly symptomatic AF (mean age around 55 years) who were refractory to antiarrhythmic drug therapy, AF ablation maintains sinus rhythm better than antiarrhythmic drugs.^{33,34} This was confirmed in CABANA.⁹ A

Table 1 Effects of rhythm control therapy using antiarrhythmic drugs in controlled clinical trials

	PIAF	CTAF	RACE	AFFIRM	STAF	SAFE-T	AF-CHF	ATHENA	Flec-SL
Year of publication	2000	2000	2002	2002	2003	2005	2008	2009	2012
Number of patients	252	403	522	4060	200	665	1376	4628	635
Mean age	60	65	68	70	66	67	67	72	64
Sex	73% male	56% male	64% male	61% male	64% male	99% male	81% male	53% male	66% male
Inclusion criteria	Symptomatic persistent AF <1 year duration	Symptomatic AF eligible for antiarrhythmic drug therapy	Recurrent persistent AF <1 year duration	>65 years or <65 years with additional risk factor for stroke with AF likely to be recurrent and likely to cause illness or death	Persistent AF either >4 weeks or enlarged LA or heart failure	Persistent AF on anticoagulation	Symptomatic HF (NYHA II-IV), LVEF <36%	Patients with AF, and >70 years with one comorbidity or >75 years	Patients undergoing planned cardioversion
Exclusion criteria	NYHA IV, unstable angina	NYHA III-IV, severe CKD, QTc >0.48	NYHA IV, previous amiodarone, pacemaker	Reversible cause of AF	Permanent AF >2 years, paroxysmal AF	NYHA III-IV, CKD, initially AF >12 months (eliminated later)	AV block, recent decompensation, dialysis	Permanent AF, NYHA IV or unstable HF, bradycardia, AV block	Unsuitable for flecainide
AF pattern	Persistent AF	50% persistent	Persistent AF	69% AF episode longer than 2 days	Persistent AF	Persistent AF	2/3 persistent	Not available but 25% were in AF at time of randomization	Persistent AF
Duration of AF at baseline (years)	0.3 (0.3)	<0.5	0.9	35% first episode of AF	0.5 (0.2)	74% <1	<1	Not available	2.3
Rhythm control intervention	Amiodarone	Amiodarone	Antiarrhythmic drugs	Antiarrhythmic drugs	Antiarrhythmic drugs	Sotalol, amiodarone	Amiodarone	Dronedarone	Flecainide (short and long term)
Comparator therapy	Rate control (diltiazem)	Sotalol or propafenone	Rate control	Rate control	Rate control	Placebo	Rate control	Placebo	No antiarrhythmic drug
Primary endpoint	Recurrent AF	Recurrent AF	Cardiovascular death, HF, stroke, bleeding, pacemaker, or SAE	Death	MACCE	Recurrent AF	Cardiovascular death	Cardiovascular hospitalization or death	Recurrent AF
Method for detecting recurrent AF	24-h Holter every 3 months	Regular ECG during low-up	Regular ECG during follow-up	Not specified	Regular ECG upon follow-up	Monthly ECG	Yearly ECG	Yearly ECG	Daily telemetric ECG
Sinus rhythm maintenance	56% at 52 weeks on amiodarone, 10% on diltiazem	40% at 2 years on sotalol/propafenone, 60% on amiodarone	38% in rhythm control group, 10% in rate control during 2.3 years follow-up	60% in active group, 30% in control group at 5 years	40% at 12 months, 26% at 24 months in active group	At 12 months: 52% amio, 32% sotalol, 13% placebo	At 48 month visit: 70% (amio) vs. 30% (control), 58% of rhythm control group had AF during follow-up	Median time to first AF recurrence 737 days in dronedarone group and 498 in placebo	60% (flecainide) vs. 40% (control) at 6 months
Outcomes	Improved 6MWT in rhythm control patients	No difference in QoL between groups	No difference in mortality or QoL between groups	No difference in mortality or QoL between groups	No difference in mortality or QoL between groups	No difference in mortality or QoL between groups	No difference in mortality or QoL between groups	Lower mortality and less hospitalizations in patients randomized to dronedarone	Improved quality of life in all groups

All studies found reduced AF recurrences in patients randomized to rhythm control therapy. Several studies reported improved quality of life in patients with successful sinus rhythm maintenance, e.g. in SAFE-T and AF-CHF. AAD antiarrhythmic drug. 6MWT, six minute walking test; QoL, quality of life.

meta-analysis of randomized trials (6167 patients) found that AF ablation achieves freedom from recurrent AF in approximately half of the patients [53% (46–60), mean (95% confidence interval, CI)], with slightly higher recurrence rates in patients with chronic forms of AF.³⁵ Periprocedural complications occur in ca. 5% of patients (7.8% in EORP AF ablation, 4.8% in CABANA), including tamponade (ca. 1%), stroke, or transient ischaemic attack (ca. 0.5–1% in anticoagulated patients), access site complications (ca. 2–3%), and death (<1%).^{30,36–38} Reablation is performed in 20–50% of patients undergoing a first AF ablation. During long-term follow-up for up to 10 years, up to 60% of AF ablation patients remain free of clinically relevant recurrences of AF (with around three-fourths in sinus rhythm after 1 year), and approximately half of these patients receive combination therapy with antiarrhythmic drugs.^{39–41} Whether additional ablation strategies improve these outcomes needs to be investigated.⁴²

Atrial fibrillation ablation compared to antiarrhythmic drug therapy after CABANA

CABANA was designed to test whether AF ablation can reduce mortality compared to antiarrhythmic drugs in patients with AF in need for rhythm control therapy and with stroke risk factors.⁴³ In early 2013, a planned, blind data review identified slow enrolment and lower event rates than anticipated. This resulted in a change in primary endpoint from all-cause mortality to a composite of death, disabling stroke, serious bleeding, or cardiac arrest. In addition, the sample size was reduced. The results have just been reported⁹: Of the 2204 patients randomized (median age, 68 years; 37% female; 57% persistent AF), 89.3% completed the trial. In patients randomized to AF ablation, 91% underwent the procedure, while AF ablation was performed in 27.5% of the patients randomized to drug therapy, in line with expectations at the start of the trial.⁴³ Safety of rhythm control therapy was good in this elderly patient population (mean age 68 years), with low complication rates in both arms: Patients randomized to AF ablation experienced tamponade (0.8%), haematomas (2.3%), and pseudoaneurysms (1.1%). Patients randomized to antiarrhythmic drug therapy experienced thyroid disorders (1.6%) and proarrhythmia (0.8%). The primary outcome was not different between groups.⁹ Over a median follow-up of 48.5 months, the primary endpoint occurred in 8.0% of patients randomized to AF ablation, and in 9.2% of patients randomized to antiarrhythmic drug therapy [hazard ratio (HR) 0.86, 95% CI 0.65–1.15; $P = 0.30$]. Key secondary outcomes were not different between random groups, including all-cause mortality was 5.2% and 6.1% (HR 0.85, 95% CI 0.60–1.21; $P = 0.38$), death or cardiovascular hospitalization rates were 51.7% and 58.1% for (HR 0.83, 95% CI 0.74–0.93; $P = 0.001$). Recurrent AF was less common in patients randomized to AF ablation in the subgroup of 1240 patients undergoing systematic ECG monitoring (HR 0.52, 95% CI 0.45–0.60; $P < 0.001$). Both treatment groups showed improved quality of life, as assessed by the Atrial Fibrillation Effect on Quality of Life (AFEQT) summary score and the Mayo AF-Specific Symptom Inventory (MAFSI). Patients randomized to catheter ablation showed a greater improvement in quality of life

(mean difference of 5.3 points).⁴⁴ This greater effect of AF ablation on quality of life is consistent with the main finding of the Swedish CAPTAF trial.⁴⁵

Similar to other observational data sets, on-treatment analysis suggested improved outcomes in patients undergoing AF ablation. These findings are additionally supported by a recent study using a large US administrative database of routine patient data, analysing patients who meet the CABANA inclusion criteria.⁴⁶ Unknown and known confounders, censoring of events—either intentionally by study design or unintentionally because of loss to follow-up—, self-selection of low risk patients to cross over to ablation, and immortal time bias are some of the sources of bias that can explain these findings.⁴⁷


Rhythm control therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation and heart failure

Atrial fibrillation and heart failure (AF+HF) frequently coexist and this is associated with high morbidity and mortality.⁴⁸ To improve outcomes, restoring and maintaining sinus rhythm has been proposed in patients with AF+HF. Amiodarone is the only antiarrhythmic drug with sufficient safety data in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction.⁸ Large randomized trials of antiarrhythmic drugs compared to rate control in patients with AF+HF did not find differences in all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, or heart failure hospitalizations.^{23,49,50} Likewise, patients who maintain sinus rhythm ('successful rhythm control therapy') did not have better survival than those with recurrent AF.⁵⁰ Several small case series and controlled trials found that patients undergoing AF ablation have improved left ventricular function, often using echocardiography to assess left ventricular (LV) function (Table 2): four out of five relatively small studies found improved left ventricular function in patients with AF+HF randomized to AF ablation (Table 2),^{51–59} largely seen in trials that assessed left ventricular function by echocardiography, which is less reliable in AF than in sinus rhythm.⁶⁰ There were associated improvements in exercise capacity and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels (Take home figure, bottom panel). Improved exercise capacity and to some extent improved left ventricular function, but not lower BNP, could be partially explained by bias in unblinded trials. These effects have been extrapolated with a certain enthusiasm.⁶¹ The largest trial comparing AF ablation with 'medical therapy' (mostly rate control, but including antiarrhythmic drugs) in patients with AF+HF is CASTLE-AF (Table 2).¹⁰ The quality of rate control therapy may have affected changes in LV function in the control group of the published trials that used rate control as comparator. Thirty-four of the 363 randomized patients were lost to follow-up despite an implanted device allowing home monitoring. In the remaining patients, catheter ablation reduced mortality and HF hospitalizations (28.5% compared with 45%), but had no effect on all-cause hospitalizations and stroke. Details of the drug therapy given to patients randomized to 'medical therapy' have not been published. One-third of the patients assigned to medical therapy were on antiarrhythmic drugs at their final follow-up, 22% were in sinus rhythm at 60 months (compared to 63% in the AF ablation arm, Table 2). In line with these findings, the recent update of the AHA/ACC/HRS guidelines for AF

Table 2 Randomized studies comparing pharmacological rate or rhythm control, or, in PABA-CHF, AV nodal ablation and biventricular pacing, with catheter ablation in patients with AF and systolic dysfunction with reduced ejection fraction

	PABA-CHF	MacDonald	ARC-AF	CAMTAF	AATAC	CAMERA-MRI	CASTLE-AF
Year of publication	2008	2011	2013	2014	2016	2017	2018
Number of patients	81	41	52	50	203	66	363 ^a
Age	61	63	63	58	61	61	64
Sex	>80% male	78% male	>80% male	96% male	74% male	91% male	86% male
Type of patients	NYHA II-III, LVEF <40%	NYHA II-IV, LVEF <35%	NYHA II-IV, LVEF <35%	NYHA II-IV, LVEF <50%	NYHA II-III, LVEF <40%, dual-chamber ICD or CRT	NYHA II-IV, LVEF <45% ^b	NYHA II-IV, LVEF <35%, dual-chamber ICD or CRT
Exclusion criteria	Post-operative AF, reversible causes of AF or HF, prior AF ablation	Paroxysmal AF, QRS duration >150 ms, myocarditis	Reversible causes of AF and HF	Previous AF ablation, reversible HF cause	Amiodarone therapy, AF <3 months duration, reversible AF	Paroxysmal AF, contraindications to ablation or MRI, ischaemic cardiomyopathy	Prior AF ablation, LA diameter >60 mm
Proportion with ischaemic HF aetiology	70%	49%	33%	26%	64%	0%	46%
AF pattern	52% paroxysmal	100% chronic	100% chronic	100% chronic	100% chronic	100% chronic	33% paroxysmal
Duration of AF at baseline	48 months	44 months	51 months	24 months	9 months	22 months	Not known
Comparator therapy	Rate control (AV nodal ablation + biventricular pacing ICD)	Pharmacological rate control	Pharmacological rate control	Pharmacological rate control	Rhythm control with amiodarone	Pharmacological rate control	Mixture of rate control and rhythm control
Primary endpoint	Composite of LVEF, 6MWT distance, and MLHFQ score	Change in LVEF from randomization to last study visit	Peak VO ₂	LVEF at 6 months	Freedom from AF, AFL, or AT of >30 s duration off AAD at follow-up	Change in LVEF from baseline at 6 months on cardiac MRI	Composite of all-cause mortality or worsening of HF requiring unplanned hospitalization
Method for AF recurrence assessment	External loop recorder (AF ablation patients only)	24-h Holter at baseline, 3 and 6 months	48-h Holter at 6 and 12 months	48-h Holter at 1, 3, and 6 months (and 12 months in AF ablation patients)	Device interrogation at 3, 12, and 24 months	Implanted loop recorder in AF ablation patients	Device interrogation at 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months
Sinus rhythm maintenance at end of follow-up	88%	50%	88%	73%	70%	75% (56% without antiarrhythmic drugs)	63%
Outcomes	Improved LVEF, 6MWT distance and QoL (MLHFQ) in AF ablation patients	No difference in LV or RV function (measured by cardiac MRI), 6MWT, or BNP between groups	Improved exercise performance, QoL and BNP levels in AF ablation patients	Greater improvement in LVEF, better exercise performance, lower BNP, and improved QoL in AF ablation patients	Less unplanned hospitalization, lower mortality, greater improvement of LVEF, 6MWT distance, and QoL (MLHFQ) in AF ablation patients	Greater improvement of LVEF at 6 months in AF ablation patients	Less mortality and HF hospitalizations in AF ablation patients

^aNumber of randomized patients.^b6-Min walk distance and serum brain natriuretic peptide did not support the presence of heart failure in all patients. 6MWT, six minute walking test; AF, atrial fibrillation; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy device; ICD, implantable defibrillator; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MLHFQ, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NYHA class, New York Heart Association functional class; QoL, quality of life; RV, right ventricle.



Take home figure AF ablation may affect cardiovascular outcomes (top panel) and appears to improve left ventricular function (bottom panel) in selected patients with Atrial Fibrillation and Heart Failure. Further evidence is needed to underpin these hypothesis-generating findings.

included a Class IIb recommendation for AF ablation in patients with heart failure. So far, there is no information about outcomes following catheter ablation for AF in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction. Despite these limitations, CASTLE-AF and the AATAC trial⁶² contribute evidence that selected patients with AF+HF benefit from AF ablation (Table 2),^{51–59} but open questions remain regarding selection of adequate patients and validity of the findings in ‘all-comer’ patients. More research is needed to determine the effect of AF ablation on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with AF+HF.

Rhythm control therapy and stroke

The clear association of AF and ischaemic stroke may suggest that maintaining sinus rhythm can help to prevent strokes. There is no signal for reduced strokes in the earlier ‘rate vs. rhythm’ studies (Table 1), including the reasonably large AF-CHF trial.²³ There were only three and seven disabling strokes in each arm in CABANA, without differences between groups.⁹ Interestingly, in a *post hoc* analysis of the ATHENA trial (Table 1), patients randomized to dronedarone had a lower risk of stroke or transient ischaemic attack (1.2% vs.

1.8%).²⁶ A retrospective, propensity-score matched analysis of a subset of AF patients taken from the Swedish patient registry also suggested that AF ablation may be associated with a lower incidence of ischaemic stroke.⁶³ This is similar to propensity-matched patient comparisons in the largest health maintenance organization in Israel, comparing 969 AF patients undergoing AF ablation to 3772 AF controls.⁶⁴ These analyses are prone to several biases, including known, unmeasured and unknown confounders, and others.⁶³

Rhythm control therapy and cognitive decline

Atrial fibrillation is associated with cognitive dysfunction and dementia. Anticoagulation appears to reduce dementia in patients with AF in a nationwide cohort analysis.⁶⁵ While it is unlikely that antiarrhythmic drug therapy causes cerebral complications (stroke, transient ischaemic attack, or cognitive decline), there is a peri-procedural risk of ischaemic stroke (0.3–1%) as well as a risk of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-detected clinically silent ischaemic brain lesions in patients undergoing AF ablation.³⁷ This can increase brain damage and subsequently lead to cognitive decline.³⁷ Interestingly, the AXAFA-AFNET 5 study found small MRI-detected brain lesions in

ca. 30% of patients undergoing a first AF ablation on continuous anticoagulation, but also detected an improved cognitive function as assessed by Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) 3 months after AF ablation.³⁸

Rhythm control therapy may reduce AF-related stroke risk by reducing AF burden and subsequent improvement in atrial cardiomyopathy,⁶⁶ potentially reducing silent embolic lesions, and possibly improving perfusion and metabolism of the brain. A large retrospective observational study found a lower rate of new-onset dementia in 4212 patients undergoing AF ablation compared to 16 848 non-ablated AF patients, while a substudy in the randomized AFFIRM trial did not find a difference in cognitive function between patients randomized to rate or rhythm control therapy, while the AXAFA study found improved cognitive function in 674 patients 3 months after AF ablation compared to baseline.³⁸ The possible cognitive benefits of restoring sinus rhythm in AF patients can be attenuated by atrial cardiomyopathy and by concomitant cardiovascular conditions and other unknown confounders that can cause brain damage, stroke, and cognitive dysfunction in the absence of AF.^{66,67} Unfortunately, neither CABANA nor CASTLE-AF reported cognitive function outcomes. Ongoing research such as the case-control DIAL-F cohort (NCT01816308) and the randomized EAST-AFNET 4 trial⁶⁸ will provide further information on the impact of rhythm control therapy including AF ablation on cognitive function.

Rhythm control therapy and atrial cardiomyopathy

The term 'atrial cardiomyopathy' summarizes the structural, architectural, contractile, or electrophysiological changes in diseased atria.⁶⁶ Cardiovascular diseases (e.g. hypertension, heart failure, valvular heart disease, ischaemic heart disease, or diabetes) but also ageing can contribute to an atrial cardiomyopathy. Atrial fibrillation itself accelerates the underlying disease processes, thus contributing to atrial cardiomyopathy.⁶⁹ Left atrial enlargement, a summative clinical proxy for atrial cardiomyopathy, is partially reversed after AF ablation.^{70,71} Early rhythm control therapy, including AF ablation, has been suggested to slow these processes, thereby simplifying rhythm control therapy and potentially improving long-term outcomes.⁶⁸ Hence, early rhythm control therapy could slow atrial cardiomyopathy. However, this hypothesis requires confirmation in further studies and trials.

Summary and conclusions

Recent randomized trials and observational data sets including CASTLE-AF and CABANA provide important reassurance on the safety of rhythm control therapy in contemporary patients with AF, including in elderly patients with concomitant cardiovascular diseases. The data confirm the superior effectiveness of AF ablation compared to antiarrhythmic drugs to restore and maintain sinus rhythm, and demonstrate that antiarrhythmic drugs remain effective after AF ablation. Several smaller studies suggest that AF ablation can improve left ventricular function assessed by echocardiography in selected patients with AF and heart failure. Further studies to investigate the

impact of rhythm control therapy on LV function in different, clearly defined subsets of patients with AF are warranted. The effects of rhythm control therapy on cardiovascular death, stroke, heart failure, acute coronary syndromes, as well as secondary outcomes such as left atrial, ventricular, and cognitive function require further research, such as the on-going EAST-AFNET 4 trial.⁶⁸

Acknowledgements

We thank Heidi Oellers at AFNET for expert administrative support in the preparation of this manuscript.

Funding

This work was partially supported by European Union [grant agreement No 633196 (CATCH ME), European Union BigData@Heart (grant agreement EU IMI 116074)], British Heart Foundation (PG/17/30/32961, FS/13/43/30324; and AA/18/2/34218), German Centre for Cardiovascular Research supported by the German Ministry of Education and Research (DZHK, via grants to AFNET and to the DZHK site Hamburg), and Leducq Foundation.

Conflict of interest: C.M. reports personal fees from Abbott, Bayer, Biosense Webster, BMS/Pfizer, Boehringer, Boston Scientific, Daiichi Sankyo. S.W. reports grants and personal fees from Abbott and personal fees from Abbott, Boston Scientific, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Bayer Vital, Acutus, and Daiichi Sankyo. K.G.H. reports fees from Bayer, Boehringer, Biotronik, W.L. Gore & Associates BMS/Pfizer, EIP Pharma, Daiichi Sankyo, Edwards Lifesciences, Medtronic, and Sanofi. L.M. reports grants and personal fees from Johnson&Johnson, Biosense Webster, Boston Scientific, Medtronic, and Abbott and grants from Biotronik. A.N. reports grants from Boston Scientific, grants and personal fees from Abbott and personal fees from Biosense Webster. Le reports consultant fees, speaking honoraria, and travel expenses from Abbott, Bayer, Biosense Webster, Biotronik, Boehringer Ingelheim, Boston Scientific, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic, Pfizer, and Sanofi Aventis. L.E. receives research support from German Research Foundation and German Heart Foundation outside of this work. K.W. reports grants from Biotronik and personal fees from Boston Scientific, Biotronik, and Novartis. J.d.B. reports support for conference attendance from Boston Scientific and Abbott. J.K. reports personal fees from Affera, Abbott, Bayer, Biosense Webster, Biotronik, BMS/Pfizer, Boehringer, Boston Scientific, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic, MicroPort. A.B., A.E., I.v.G., A.G., M.G., L.H., L.S., S.T., and H.H. report no disclosures. P.K. receives research support for basic, translational, and clinical research projects from European Union, British Heart Foundation, Leducq Foundation, Medical Research Council (UK), and German Centre for Cardiovascular Research, from several drug and device companies active in atrial fibrillation and has received honoraria from several such companies in the past. P.K. is listed as inventor on two patents held by University of Birmingham (Atrial Fibrillation Therapy WO 2015140571, Markers for Atrial Fibrillation WO 2016012783).

References

1. Heeringa J, van der Kuip DA, Hofman A, Kors JA, van Herpen G, Stricker BH, Stijnen T, Lip GY, Witteman JC. Prevalence, incidence and lifetime risk of atrial fibrillation: the Rotterdam study. *Eur Heart J* 2006;**27**:949–953.
2. Schnabel RB, Yin X, Gona P, Larson MG, Beiser AS, McManus DD, Newton-Cheh C, Lubitz SA, Magnani JW, Ellinor PT, Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. 50 year trends in atrial fibrillation prevalence, incidence, risk factors, and mortality in the Framingham Heart Study: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2015;**386**:154–162.
3. Marijon E, Le Heuzey JY, Connolly S, Yang S, Pogue J, Brueckmann M, Eikelboom JW, Themeles E, Ezekowitz MD, Wallentin L, Yusuf S. Causes of death and influencing factors in patients with atrial fibrillation: a competing risk analysis from

- the randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy study. *Circulation* 2013;**128**:2192–2201.
4. Camm AJ, Amarencio P, Haas S, Hess S, Kirchhof P, Kuhls S, van Eickels M, Turpie AG; XANTUS Investigators. XANTUS: a real-world, prospective, observational study of patients treated with rivaroxaban for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:1145–1153.
 5. Roy D, Talajic M, Dorian P, Connolly S, Eisenberg MJ, Green M, Kus T, Lambert J, Dubuc M, Gagne P, Nattel S, Thibault B. Amiodarone to prevent recurrence of atrial fibrillation. Canadian Trial of Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**342**:913–920.
 6. Calkins H, Hindricks G, Cappato R, Kim Y-H, Saad EB, Aguinaga L, Akar JG, Badhwar V, Brugada J, Camm J, Chen P-S, Chen S-A, Chung MK, Nielsen JC, Curtis AB, Davies DW, Day JD, d'Avila A, de Groot NMSN, Di Biase L, Duytschaever M, Edgerton JR, Ellenbogen KA, Ellinor PT, Ernst S, Fenelon G, Gerstenfeld EP, Haines DE, Haissaguerre M, Helm RH, Hylek E, Jackman WM, Jalife J, Kalman JM, Kautzner J, Kottkamp H, Kuck KH, Kumagai K, Lee R, Lewalter T, Lindsay BD, Macle L, Mansour M, Marchlinski FE, Michaud GF, Nakagawa H, Natale A, Nattel S, Okumura K, Packer D, Pokushalov E, Reynolds MR, Sanders P, Scanavacca M, Schilling R, Tondo C, Tsao H-M, Verma A, Wilber DJ, Yamane T. 2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: executive summary. *Europace* 2018;**20**:157–208.
 7. Kotecha D, Breithardt G, Camm AJ, Lip GYH, Schotten U, Ahlsson A, Arnar D, Atar D, Auricchio A, Bax J, Benussi S, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Borggrefe M, Boriani G, Brandes A, Calkins H, Casadei B, Castella M, Chua W, Crijns H, Dobrev D, Fabritz L, Feuring M, Freedman B, Gerth A, Goette A, Guasch E, Haase D, Hatem S, Haessler KG, Heidebuchel H, Hendriks J, Hunter C, Kaab S, Kespohl S, Landmesser U, Lane DA, Lewalter T, Mont L, Nabauer M, Nielsen JC, Oeff M, Oldgren J, Oto A, Pison L, Potpara T, Ravens U, Richard-Lordereau I, Rienstra M, Savelieva I, Schnabel R, Sinner MF, Sommer P, Themistoclakis S, Van Gelder IC, Vardas PE, Verma A, Wakili R, Weber E, Werring D, Willems S, Ziegler A, Hindricks G, Kirchhof P. Integrating new approaches to atrial fibrillation management: the 6th AFNET/EHRA Consensus Conference. *Europace* 2018;**20**:395–407.
 8. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, Castella M, Diener HC, Heidbuchel H, Hendriks J, Hindricks G, Manolis AS, Oldgren J, Popescu BA, Schotten U, Van Putte B, Vardas P, Agewall S, Camm J, Baron Esquivias G, Budts W, Carerj S, Casselman F, Coca A, De Caterina R, Devereux S, Dobrev D, Ferro JM, Filippatos G, Fitzsimons D, Gorennek B, Guenoun M, Hohnloser SH, Kolh P, Lip GY, Manolis A, McMurray J, Ponikowski P, Rosenhek R, Ruschitzka F, Savelieva I, Sharma S, Suwalski P, Tamargo JL, Taylor CJ, Van Gelder IC, Voors AA, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Zeppenfeld K. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:2893–2962.
 9. Packer DL, Mark DB, Robb RA, Monahan KH, Bahnson TD, Poole JE, Noseworthy PA, Rosenberg YD, Jeffries N, Mitchell LB, Flaker GC, Pokushalov E, Romanov A, Bunch TJ, Noelker G, Ardashev A, Revishvili A, Wilber DJ, Cappato R, Kuck KH, Hindricks G, Davies DW, Kowey PR, Naccarelli GV, Reiffel JA, Piccini JP, Silverstein AP, Al-Khalidi HR, Lee KL; CABANA Investigators. Effect of catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic drug therapy on mortality, stroke, bleeding, and cardiac arrest among patients with atrial fibrillation: the CABANA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:1261.
 10. Marrouche NF, Brachmann J, Andresen D, Siebels J, Boersma L, Jordaens L, Merkely B, Pokushalov E, Sanders P, Proff J, Schunkert H, Christ H, Vogt J, Bansch D; CASTLE-AF Investigators. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation with heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2018;**378**:417–427.
 11. Testa L, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Dello Russo A, Bellocchi F, Andreotti F, Crea F. Rate-control vs. rhythm-control in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2005;**26**:2000–2006.
 12. Lafuente-Lafuente C, Valembois L, Bergmann JF, Belmin J. Antiarrhythmics for maintaining sinus rhythm after cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;**3**:CD005049.
 13. Kirchhof P, Breithardt G, Bax J, Benninger G, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Boriani G, Brandes A, Brown H, Brueckmann M, Calkins H, Calvert M, Christoffels V, Crijns H, Dobrev D, Ellinor P, Fabritz L, Fetsch T, Freedman SB, Gerth A, Goette A, Guasch E, Hack G, Haegeli L, Hatem S, Haessler KG, Heidbuchel H, Heinrich-Nols J, Hidden-Lucet F, Hindricks G, Juul-Moller S, Kaab S, Kappenberger L, Kespohl S, Kotecha D, Lane DA, Leate A, Lewalter T, Meyer R, Mont L, Munzel F, Nabauer M, Nielsen JC, Oeff M, Oldgren J, Oto A, Piccini JP, Pilmeyer A, Potpara T, Ravens U, Reinecke H, Rostock T, Rustige J, Savelieva I, Schnabel R, Schotten U, Schwichtenberg L, Sinner MF, Steinbeck G, Stoll M, Tavazzi L, Themistoclakis S, Tse HF, Van Gelder IC, Vardas PE, Varpula T, Vincent A, Werring D, Willems S, Ziegler A, Lip GY, Camm AJ. A roadmap to improve the quality of atrial fibrillation management: proceedings from the fifth Atrial Fibrillation Network/European Heart Rhythm Association consensus conference. *Europace* 2016;**18**:37–50.
 14. Anker SD, Agewall S, Borggrefe M, Calvert M, Jaime Caro J, Cowie MR, Ford I, Paty JA, Riley JP, Swedberg K, Tavazzi L, Wiklund I, Kirchhof P. The importance of patient-reported outcomes: a call for their comprehensive integration in cardiovascular clinical trials. *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**:2001–2009.
 15. Kirchhof P, Auricchio A, Bax J, Crijns H, Camm J, Diener HC, Goette A, Hindricks G, Hohnloser S, Kappenberger L, Kuck KH, Lip GY, Olsson B, Meinertz T, Priori S, Ravens U, Steinbeck G, Svernhage E, Tijssen J, Vincent A, Breithardt G. Outcome parameters for trials in atrial fibrillation: executive summary: recommendations from a consensus conference organized by the German Atrial Fibrillation Competence Network (AFNET) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *Eur Heart J* 2007;**28**:2803–2817.
 16. Wynn GJ, Todd DM, Webber M, Bonnett L, McShane J, Kirchhof P, Gupta D. The European Heart Rhythm Association symptom classification for atrial fibrillation: validation and improvement through a simple modification. *Europace* 2014;**16**:965–972.
 17. Kotecha D, Ahmed A, Calvert M, Lencioni M, Terwee CB, Lane DA. Patient-reported outcomes for quality of life assessment in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review of measurement properties. *PLoS One* 2016;**11**:e0165790.
 18. Rienstra M, Hobbelt AH, Alings M, Tijssen JGP, Smit MD, Bruggemann J, Geelhoed B, Tieleman RG, Hillege HL, Tukkie R, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Crijns H, Van Gelder IC, Investigators R. Targeted therapy of underlying conditions improves sinus rhythm maintenance in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation: results of the RACE 3 trial. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:2987–2996.
 19. De With RR, Rienstra CM, Smit MD, Weijts B, Zwartkruis VW, Geelhoed B, Hillege H, Tukkie R, Hemels ME, Tieleman R, Ranchor AV, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Crijns HJGM, Van Gelder IC. Targeted therapy of underlying conditions improves quality of life in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation: results of the RACE 3 study. *Europace* 2019;**21**:563–571.
 20. Kirchhof P, Breithardt G, Aliot E, Al Khatib S, Apostolakis S, Auricchio A, Baillet C, Bax J, Benninger G, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Boersma L, Boriani G, Brandes A, Brown H, Brueckmann M, Calkins H, Casadei B, Clemens A, Crijns H, Derwand R, Dobrev D, Ezekowitz M, Fetsch T, Gerth A, Gillis A, Gulizia M, Hack G, Haegeli L, Hatem S, Georg Haessler K, Heidbuchel H, Hernandez-Brichis J, Jais P, Kappenberger L, Kautzner J, Kim S, Kuck KH, Lane D, Leate A, Lewalter T, Meyer R, Mont L, Moses G, Mueller M, Munzel F, Nabauer M, Nielsen JC, Oeff M, Oto A, Pieske B, Pisters R, Potpara T, Rasmussen L, Ravens U, Reiffel J, Richard-Lordereau I, Schafer H, Schotten U, Stegink W, Stein K, Steinbeck G, Szumowski L, Tavazzi L, Themistoclakis S, Thomizek K, Van Gelder IC, von Stritzky B, Vincent A, Werring D, Willems S, Lip GY, Camm AJ. Personalized management of atrial fibrillation: proceedings from the fourth Atrial Fibrillation competence Network/European Heart Rhythm Association consensus conference. *Europace* 2013;**15**:1540–1556.
 21. Cosedis Nielsen J, Johannessen A, Raatikainen P, Hindricks G, Walfridsson H, Kongstad O, Pehrson S, Englund A, Hartikainen J, Mortensen LS, Hansen PS. Radiofrequency ablation as initial therapy in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2012;**367**:1587–1595.
 22. Adelstein EC, Althouse AD, Davis L, Schwartzman D, Bazaz R, Jain S, Wang N, Saba S. Amiodarone is associated with adverse outcomes in patients with sustained ventricular arrhythmias upgraded to cardiac resynchronization therapy-defibrillators. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2019;**30**:348–356.
 23. Roy D, Talajic M, Nattel S, Wyse DG, Dorian P, Lee KL, Bourassa MG, Arnold JM, Buxton AE, Camm AJ, Connolly SJ, Dubuc M, Ducharme A, Guerra PG, Hohnloser SH, Lambert J, Le Heuzey JY, O'Hara G, Pedersen OD, Rouleau JL, Singh BN, Stevenson LW, Stevenson WG, Thibault B, Waldo AL; Atrial Fibrillation and Congestive Heart Failure Investigators. Rhythm control versus rate control for atrial fibrillation and heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**358**:2667–2677.
 24. Wyse DG, Waldo AL, DiMarco JP, Domanski MJ, Rosenberg Y, Schron EB, Kellen JC, Greene HL, Mickel MC, Dalquist JE, Corley SD; Atrial Fibrillation Follow-up Investigation of Rhythm Management (AFFIRM) Investigators. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**:1825–1833.
 25. Van Gelder IC, Hagens VE, Bosker HA, Kingma JH, Kamp O, Kingma T, Said SA, Darmanata JI, Timmermans AJM, Tijssen JGP, Crijns HJGM. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with recurrent persistent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**:1834–1840.
 26. Hohnloser SH, Crijns HJ, van Eickels M, Gaudin C, Page RL, Torp-Pedersen C, Connolly SJ. Effect of dronedarone on cardiovascular events in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**360**:668–678.
 27. Connolly SJ, Camm AJ, Halperin JL, Joyner C, Alings M, Amerena J, Atar D, Avezum A, Blomstrom P, Borggrefe M, Budaj A, Chen SA, Ching CK, Commerford P, Dans A, Davy JM, Delacretaz E, Di Pasquale G, Diaz R, Dorian P, Flaker G, Golitsyn S, Gonzalez-Hermosillo A, Granger CB, Heidbuchel H, Kautzner J, Kim JS, Lanas F, Lewis BS, Merino JL, Morillo C, Murin J, Narasimhan C, Paolasso E, Parkhomenko A, Peters NS, Sim KH, Stiles MK, Tanomsup S, Toivonen L, Tomcsanyi J, Torp-Pedersen C, Tse HF, Vardas P, Vinereanu D,

- Xavier D, Zhu J, Zhu JR, Baret-Cormel L, Weinling E, Staiger C, Yusuf S, Chrolavicius S, Afzal R, Hohnloser M. Dronedron in high-risk permanent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2011;**365**:2268–2276.
28. Darkner S, Chen X, Hansen J, Pehrson S, Johannessen A, Nielsen JB, Svendsen JH. Recurrence of arrhythmia following short-term oral AMIODARONE after CATHeter ablation for atrial fibrillation: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study (AMIO-CAT trial). *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**:3356–3364.
29. Duytschaever M, Demolder A, Philips T, Sarkozy A, El Haddad M, Taghji P, Knecht S, Tavernier R, Vandekerckhove Y, De Potter T. Pulmonary vein isolation With vs. without continued antiarrhythmic Drug treatment in subjects with Recurrent Atrial Fibrillation (POWDER AF): results from a multicentre randomized trial. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:1429–1437.
30. Arbelo E, Brugada J, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Laroche C, Kautzner J, Pokushalov E, Raatikainen P, Efremidis M, Hindricks G, Barrera A, Maggioni A, Tavazzi L, Dagues N; on the behalf of the ESC-EHRA Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Long-term Registry Investigators. Contemporary management of patients undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation: in-hospital and 1-year follow-up findings from the ESC-EHRA atrial fibrillation ablation long-term registry. *Eur Heart J* 2017;**38**:1303–1316.
31. Darkner S, Goetze JP, Chen X, Henningsen K, Pehrson S, Svendsen JH. Natriuretic peptides as markers of atrial fibrillation burden and recurrence (from the AMIO-CAT trial). *Am J Cardiol* 2017;**120**:1309–1315.
32. Fabritz L, Guasch E, Antoniadis C, Bardin E, Benninger G, Betts TR, Brand E, Breithardt G, Bucklar-Suchankova G, Camm AJ, Cartledge D, Casadei B, Chua WW, Crijns HJ, Deeks J, Hatem S, Hidden-Lucet F, Kaab S, Maniadakis N, Martin S, Mont L, Reinecke H, Sinner MF, Schotten U, Southwood T, Stoll M, Vardas P, Wakili R, West A, Ziegler A, Kirchhof P. Expert consensus document: defining the major health modifiers causing atrial fibrillation: a roadmap to underpin personalized prevention and treatment. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2016;**13**:230–237.
33. Jais P, Cauchemez B, Macle L, Daoud E, Khairy P, Subbiah R, Hocini M, Extramiana F, Sacher F, Bordachar P, Klein G, Weerasooriya R, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M. Catheter ablation versus antiarrhythmic drugs for atrial fibrillation: the A4 study. *Circulation* 2008;**118**:2498–2505.
34. Pappone C, Augello G, Sala S, Gugliotta F, Vicedomini G, Gulletta S, Paglino G, Mazzone P, Sora N, Greiss I, Santagostino A, LiVolsi L, Pappone N, Radinovic A, Manguso F, Santinelli V. A randomized trial of circumferential pulmonary vein ablation versus antiarrhythmic drug therapy in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: the APAF Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;**48**:2340–2347.
35. Ganesan AN, Shipp NJ, Brooks AG, Kuklik P, Lau DH, Lim HS, Sullivan T, Roberts-Thomson KC, Sanders P. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2013;**2**: e004549.
36. Packer DL, Mark DB, Robb RA, Monahan KH, Bahnson TD, Poole JE, Noseworthy PA, Rosenberg YD, Jeffries N, Mitchell LB, Flaker GC, Pokushalov E, Romanov A, Bunch TJ, Noelker G, Ardashev A, Revishvili A, Wilber DJ, Cappato R, Kuck KH, Hindricks G, Davies DW, Kowey PR, Naccarelli GV, Reiffel JA, Piccini JP, Silverstein AP, Al-Khalidi HR, Lee KL, Investigators C. Effect of catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic drug therapy on mortality, stroke, bleeding, and cardiac arrest among patients with atrial fibrillation: The CABANA Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:1261–1274.
37. Haessler KG, Kirchhof P, Endres M. Left atrial catheter ablation and ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012;**43**:265–270.
38. Kirchhof P, Haessler KG, Blank B, De Bono J, Callans D, Elvan A, Fetsch T, Van Gelder IC, Gentlesk P, Grimaldi M, Hansen J, Hindricks G, Al-Khalidi HR, Massaro T, Mont L, Nielsen JC, Nolkner G, Piccini JP, De Potter T, Scherr D, Schotten U, Themistoclakis S, Todd D, Vijgen J, Di Biase L. Apixaban in patients at risk of stroke undergoing atrial fibrillation ablation. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**: 2942–2955.
39. Scherr D, Khairy P, Miyazaki S, Aurillac-Lavignolle V, Pascale P, Wilton SB, Ramoul K, Komatsu Y, Roten L, Jadidi A, Linton N, Pedersen M, Daly M, O'Neill M, Knecht S, Weerasooriya R, Rostock T, Manninger M, Cochet H, Shah AJ, Yeim S, Denis A, Derval N, Hocini M, Sacher F, Haissaguerre M, Jais P. Five-year outcome of catheter ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation using termination of atrial fibrillation as a procedural endpoint. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;**8**: 18–24.
40. Tiltz RR, Heeger CH, Wick A, Saguner AM, Metzner A, Rillig A, Wohlmuth P, Reissmann B, Lemes C, Maurer T, Santoro F, Riedl J, Sohns C, Mathew S, Kuck KH, Ouyang F. Ten-year clinical outcome after circumferential pulmonary vein isolation utilizing the hampburg approach in patients with symptomatic drug-refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2018;**11**: e005250.
41. Dinshaw L, Schaffer B, Akbulak O, Jularic M, Hartmann J, Klatt N, Dickow J, Gunawardene M, Munkler P, Hakmi S, Pecha S, Sultan A, Luker J, Pinn Schmidt H, Hoffmann B, Gosau N, Eickholt C, Willems S, Steven D, Meyer C. Long-term efficacy and safety of radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices and transvenous leads. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2019;**30**:679.
42. Verma A, Jiang CY, Betts TR, Chen J, Deisenhofer I, Mantovan R, Macle L, Morillo CA, Haverkamp W, Weerasooriya R, Albenque JP, Nardi S, Menardi E, Novak P, Sanders P. Approaches to catheter ablation for persistent atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**372**:1812–1822.
43. Packer DL, Mark DB, Robb RA, Monahan KH, Bahnson TD, Moretz K, Poole JE, Mascette A, Rosenberg Y, Jeffries N, Al-Khalidi HR, Lee KL, Investigators C. Catheter ablation versus antiarrhythmic drug therapy for atrial fibrillation (CABANA) trial: study rationale and design. *Am Heart J* 2018;**199**:192–199.
44. Mark DB, Anstrom KJ, Sheng S, Piccini JP, Baloch KN, Monahan KH, Daniels MR, Bahnson TD, Poole JE, Rosenberg Y, Lee KL, Packer DL; CABANA Investigators. Effect of catheter ablation vs medical therapy on quality of life among patients with atrial fibrillation: the CABANA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019;**321**: 1275.
45. Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Gizurarson S, Schwieler J, Jensen SM, Bergfeldt L, Kenneback G, Rubulis A, Malmberg H, Raatikainen P, Lonnholm S, Hoglund N, Mortzell D. Effect of catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic medication on quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: the CAPTAF randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:1059–1068.
46. Noseworthy PA, Gersh BJ, Kent DM, Piccini JP, Packer DL, Shah ND, Yao X. Atrial fibrillation ablation in practice: assessing CABANA generalizability. *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:1257–1264.
47. Packer M, Kowey PR. Building castles in the sky: catheter ablation in patients with atrial fibrillation and chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 2018;**138**:751.
48. Santhanakrishnan R, Wang N, Larson MG, Magnani JW, McManus DD, Lubitz SA, Ellinor PT, Cheng S, Vasan RS, Lee DS, Wang TJ, Levy D, Benjamin EJ, Ho JE. Atrial fibrillation begets heart failure and vice versa: temporal associations and differences in preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. *Circulation* 2016;**133**: 484–492.
49. Torp-Pedersen C, Møller M, Bloch-Thomsen PE, Køber L, Sandøe E, Egstrup K, Agner E, Carlsen J, Videbæk J, Marchant B, Camm AJ. Dofetilide in patients with congestive heart failure and left ventricular dysfunction. Danish Investigations of Arrhythmia and Mortality on Dofetilide Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1999;**341**: 857–865.
50. Talajic M, Khairy P, Levesque S, Connolly SJ, Dorian P, Dubuc M, Guerra PG, Hohnloser SH, Lee KL, Macle L, Nattel S, Pedersen OD, Stevenson LW, Thibault B, Waldo AL, Wyse DG, Roy D; AF-CHF Investigators. Maintenance of sinus rhythm and survival in patients with heart failure and atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**55**:1796–1802.
51. Marrouche NF, Kheirkhahan M, Brachmann J. Huff and Puff, this CASTLE is made of bricks. *Circulation* 2018;**138**:754–755.
52. Ullah W, Ling LH, Prabhu S, Lee G, Kistler P, Finlay MC, Earley MJ, Sporton S, Bashir Y, Betts TR, Rajappan K, Thomas G, Duncan E, Staniforth A, Mann I, Chow A, Lambiasi P, Schilling RJ, Hunter RJ. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in patients with heart failure: impact of maintaining sinus rhythm on heart failure status and long-term rates of stroke and death. *Europace* 2016;**18**: 679–686.
53. Anselmino M, Matta M, D'Ascenzo F, Bunch TJ, Schilling RJ, Hunter RJ, Pappone C, Neumann T, Noelker G, Fiala M, Bertaglia E, Frontera A, Duncan E, Nalliah C, Jais P, Weerasooriya R, Kalman JM, Gaita F. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;**7**:1011–1018.
54. Al Halabi S, Qintar M, Hussein A, Alraies MC, Jones DG, Wong T, MacDonald MR, Petrie MC, Cantillon D, Tarakji KG, Kanj M, Bhargava M, Varma N, Baranowski B, Wilkoff BL, Wazni O, Callahan T, Saliba W, Chung MK. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation in heart failure patients: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2015;**1**:200–209.
55. Khan MN, Jais P, Cummings J, Di Biase L, Sanders P, Martin DO, Kautzner J, Hao S, Themistoclakis S, Fanelli R, Potenza D, Massaro R, Wazni O, Schweikert R, Saliba W, Wang P, Al-Ahmad A, Beheiry S, Santarelli P, Starling RC, Dello Russo A, Pelargonio G, Brachmann J, Schibgilla V, Bonso A, Casella M, Raviele A, Haissaguerre M, Natale A; PABA-CHF Investigators. Pulmonary-vein isolation for atrial fibrillation in patients with heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**359**:1778–1785.
56. Jones DG, Halder SK, Hussain W, Sharma R, Francis DP, Rahman-Haley SL, McDonagh TA, Underwood SR, Markides V, Wong T. A randomized trial to assess catheter ablation versus rate control in the management of persistent atrial fibrillation in heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**61**:1894–1903.
57. Hunter RJ, Berriman TJ, Diab I, Kamdar R, Richmond L, Baker V, Goromoni F, Sawhney V, Duncan E, Page SP, Ullah W, Unsworth B, Mayet J, Dhinoja M, Earley MJ, Sporton S, Schilling RJ. A randomized controlled trial of catheter ablation versus medical treatment of atrial fibrillation in heart failure (the CAMTAF trial). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;**7**:31–38.
58. Di Biase L, Mohanty P, Mohanty S, Santangeli P, Trivedi C, Lakkireddy D, Reddy M, Jais P, Themistoclakis S, Dello Russo A, Casella M, Pelargonio G, Narducci ML, Schweikert R, Neuzil P, Sanchez J, Horton R, Beheiry S, Hongo R, Hao S, Rossillo A, Forleo G, Tondo C, Burkhardt JD, Haissaguerre M, Natale A. Ablation versus amiodarone for treatment of persistent atrial fibrillation in

- patients with congestive heart failure and an implanted device: results from the AATAC multicenter randomized trial. *Circulation* 2016;**133**:1637–1644.
59. Prabhu S, Taylor AJ, Costello BT, Kaye DM, McLellan AJA, Voskoboinik A, Sugumar H, Lockwood SM, Stokes MB, Pathik B, Nalliah CJ, Wong GR, Azzopardi SM, Gutman SJ, Lee G, Layland J, Mariani JA, Ling LH, Kalman JM, Kistler PM. Catheter ablation versus medical rate control in atrial fibrillation and systolic dysfunction: the CAMERA-MRI study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;**70**: 1949–1961.
 60. Kotecha D, Mohamed M, Shantsila E, Popescu BA, Steeds RP. Is echocardiography valid and reproducible in patients with atrial fibrillation? A systematic review. *Europace* 2017;**19**:1427–1438.
 61. Chen S, Purerfellner H, Meyer C, Acou WJ, Schratte A, Ling Z, Liu S, Yin Y, Martinek M, Kiuchi MG, Schmidt B, Chun KRJ. Rhythm control for patients with atrial fibrillation complicated with heart failure in the contemporary era of catheter ablation: a stratified pooled analysis of randomized data. *Eur Heart J* 2019; doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz443.
 62. Di Biase L, Mohanty P, Mohanty S, Santangeli P, Trivedi C, Lakkireddy D, Reddy M, Jais P, Themistoclakis S, Dello Russo A, Casella M, Pelargonio G, Narducci ML, Schweikert R, Neuzil P, Sanchez J, Horton R, Beheiry S, Hongo R, Hao S, Rossillo A, Forleo G, Tondo C, Burkhardt JD, Haissaguerre M, Natale A. Ablation vs. amiodarone for treatment of persistent atrial fibrillation in patients with congestive heart failure and an implanted device: results from the AATAC multicenter randomized trial. *Circulation* 2016;**133**:1637–1644.
 63. Friberg L, Tabrizi F, Englund A. Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation is associated with lower incidence of stroke and death: data from Swedish health registries. *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:2478–2487.
 64. Saliba W, Schliamser JE, Lavi I, Barnett-Griness O, Gronich N, Rennert G. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation is associated with reduced risk of stroke and mortality: a propensity score-matched analysis. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;**14**: 635–642.
 65. Friberg L, Rosenqvist M. Less dementia with oral anticoagulation in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:453–460.
 66. Goette A, Kalman JM, Aguinaga L, Akar J, Cabrera JA, Chen SA, Chugh SS, Corradi D, D'Avila A, Dobrev D, Fenelon G, Gonzalez M, Hatem SN, Helm R, Hindricks G, Ho SY, Hoit B, Jalife J, Kim YH, Lip GY, Ma CS, Marcus GM, Murray K, Nogami A, Sanders P, Uribe W, Van Wagoner DR, Nattel S; Document Reviewers. EHRA/HRS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus on atrial cardiomyopathies: definition, characterization, and clinical implication. *Europace* 2016;**18**: 1455–1490.
 67. Kamel H, Bartz TM, Elkind MSV, Okin PM, Thacker EL, Patton KK, Stein PK, deFilippi CR, Gottesman RF, Heckbert SR, Kronmal RA, Soliman EZ, Longstreth WT Jr. Atrial cardiopathy and the risk of ischemic stroke in the CHS (Cardiovascular Health Study). *Stroke* 2018;**49**:980–986.
 68. Kirchhof P, Breithardt G, Camm AJ, Crijns HJ, Kuck KH, Vardas P, Wegscheider K. Improving outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation: rationale and design of the Early treatment of Atrial fibrillation for Stroke prevention Trial. *Am Heart J* 2013;**166**:442–448.
 69. Schotten U, Verheule S, Kirchhof P, Goette A. Pathophysiological mechanisms of atrial fibrillation: a translational appraisal. *Physiol Rev* 2011;**91**:265–325.
 70. Reant P, Lafitte S, Jais P, Serri K, Weerasooriya R, Hocini M, Pillois X, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M, Roudaut R. Reverse remodeling of the left cardiac chambers after catheter ablation after 1 year in a series of patients with isolated atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2005;**112**:2896–2903.
 71. Montserrat S, Sitges M, Calvo N, Silva E, Tamborero D, Vidal B, Berrueto A, Bernado C, Mont L, Brugada J. Effect of repeated radiofrequency catheter ablation on left atrial function for the treatment of atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol* 2011;**108**:1741–1746.